Provera for the government, show that a representative of Upjohn was invited to listen to the committee's reasons for rejecting the company's application to have Depo Provera approved for contraception (Lexchin).

Extreme secrecy is unnecessary and benefits no one but drug companies. In the U.S., the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) review committee meetings are open to the public, so observers can see what information drug companies have submitted to prove to safety and efficacy of their products and who is involved in judging their applications. When a drug is approved, the FDA releases a summary of the information they considered in approval. And U.S. drug companies still manage to turn healthy profits. At the very least, opening up Health Protection Branch's review committee meetings would allow consumer groups to monitor the drug approval process and inform government of their concerns and needs. With high-tech reproductive technologies such as Norplant, informed concent is imposible without informed consumers. Every women needs to know and understand what long-term risks and side effects she may be exposing herself to-especially because Norplant, as a contraceptive, will be used in healthy, young women. Until there is more freedom of information about Norplant, it is difficult to believe the implant offers women contraceptive freedom.

Marie Cocking has a Master of Journalism from Carleton University in Ottawa. She now lives in Montreal and works as a communications officer for DES Action Canada and as a freelance writer.

References

Darney, Philip D. et al. "Acceptance and Perceptions of Norplant Among Users in San Francisco." Studies in Family Planning. 21(3) (1992): 152-160.

Hardon, Anita (1993). "Norplant: Conflicting Views on Its Safety and Acceptability." *Norplant: Under Her Skin.* Eburon: The Netherlands, 1993: 7–26.

Hardon, Anita (1990). "Norplant: A Critical Review." Women and Pharmaceuticals Bulletin. November (1990): 14-18.

Lexchin, Joel. "Drug Makers and Drug Regulators: Too Close for Comfort. A Study of the Canadian Situation." Social Science Medicine. 31(11) (1990): 1257–1263.

McCauley, A. P. and J.S. Geller. "Decisions for Norplant Programs." *Population Reports.* 20(3) (1992): 1–31.

Mickleburgh, Rod. Globe and Mail. January 14, 1994:

Rafuse, Jill. "SOGC Says Regulatory Agencies Stalling on Approval of Safe, Effective Contraceptives." *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 149(4) (1993): 467–469.

Special Advisory Committee on Reproductive Physiology. Minutes of the meeting, Monday, May 4, 1992, Bureau of Human Prescription Drugs, Vanier, On-

tario. Obtained through the Access to Information Act by the Women's Health Clinic in Winnipeg, Mb.

World Health Organization. Norplant Contraceptive Subdermal Implants, Managerial and Technical Guidelines. Geneva: WHO, 1990.

MICHELE BIRCH-CONERY

Adoption

The first picture ever taken of her (July, 1944) discovers

her in her plaid skirt and white blouse, the thin white socks,

white unpolished shoes worn when she came from the foster

home. Always I see her arriving crystalline

bright, her childhood innocence intact. I remember

the walk from the CPR station (a short half block) to the Wilson

Street apartments. She would act grownup although embarrassed

by her child's suitcase, its cardboard handle broken already from the train

ride, Vancouver to Trail. They can hardly wait to capture

this moment. Alone against the drab stucco

of her new home she appears bewildered, small for her age.

How is it that so immediately and without warning

she is becoming their dream?

Michele Birch-Conery teaches English Literature, Composition, and Women's Studies at North Island College in Port Alberni, British Columbia.